

## **Dynamic Coalition on Privacy statement at IGF consultations, 23<sup>rd</sup> May 2007**

(made by Ralf Bendrath, University of Bremen, coalition co-facilitator)

This is to provide you with a brief update on our work and with some proposals on the IGF meeting in Rio. The Dynamic Coalition on Privacy has met continuous interest and now gathers participants from more than sixty entities, including governments, civil society, business and international organizations. The coalition now has participants from all world regions. The full list and more information about the coalition, including an updated progress report, are available at <http://igf2006.info/wiki/Privacy>.

Participants in the Coalition have met in Geneva in February 2007, in Montreal in May 2007, and again in Geneva yesterday. The major part of the work is being conducted through a mailing list.

The Coalition work is currently structured around the following three main themes:

- Privacy and Identity,
- Privacy and Development,
- Privacy and Freedom of Expression.

Short synthetic issue papers have been drafted to help structure the debate and highlight the different dimensions on each of the issues mentioned above. The drafts are available at the coalition wiki site I just mentioned. They will be refined and adopted until the summer and submitted to the IGF as contributions from the Privacy Coalition.

At our meeting yesterday, we also discussed the draft programme outline for the Rio meeting. We have some concerns and some proposals.

On the themes

- We noticed that Privacy is not mentioned at all, and civil liberties and human rights in general are pushed to the side. We therefore strongly support the idea to add civil liberties and human rights as another cross-cutting issue. This would mean including it in the criteria for the workshops and addressing it in the main sessions.
- The “security” theme as it is described now and if it is to stay can certainly be improved. First of all, it should address which is the object to be secured – the citizen, the consumer, private property, national security, human rights, or what else? Of course, there are many more aspects to think about in this context, and we are more than willing to help here with ideas and expertise.

On the format

- We think that to have a main session on “emerging issues in Internet Governance” is a good idea, but we suggest having it at the beginning of the meeting. This would be different from “issues that emerged at the IGF” – which of course should be addressed at the end.
- Our members will propose several workshops on privacy-related aspects of internet governance. These and other workshops then should feed into the coalition meetings in Rio, which in turn should feed into the respective main session. This is where timing will be relevant, and we ask the secretariat to keep this in mind.

On the role and mandate of the IGF

- We agree that the IGF must move beyond a pure discussion event and see if and how it can agree on recommendations or other output. We again refer to paragraph 72g of the Tunis Agenda which mentions as part of the IGF mandate to “make recommendations”.
- We therefore suggest to have the final IGF plenary consider any recommendations that may arise from the ongoing work of the coalitions or from the workshops and discussions in Rio.