

# Problems on Algebra III

Winter 2021

M. Benyoussef, A. Schmitt

## Problem Set 7

Due: Tuesday, December 14, 2021, 2pm

Exercise 1 (Extension of sections of quasi-coherent sheaves; 10 points).

Let  $(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$  be a scheme,  $\mathcal{F}$  a quasi-coherent  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module,  $f \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ , and  $s \in \Gamma(X_f, \mathcal{F})$ . Suppose that  $X$  admits a covering by finitely many affine open schemes  $U_1, \dots, U_\ell$ , such that  $U_i \cap U_j$  is quasi-compact,  $i, j = 1, \dots, \ell$ . Prove that there exist a power  $n > 0$  and a section  $t \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{F})$ , such that

$$t|_{X_f} = f^n \cdot s.$$

Exercise 2 (Pull back and push forward of quasi-coherent and coherent sheaves; 4+4+4 points).

Let  $(X, \mathcal{O}_X), (Y, \mathcal{O}_Y)$  be schemes and  $(f, f^\#): (X, \mathcal{O}_X) \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{O}_Y)$  a morphism.

a) Suppose that  $\mathcal{G}$  is a quasi-coherent  $\mathcal{O}_Y$ -module. Prove that  $f^*(\mathcal{G})$  is a quasi-coherent  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module.

b) Suppose that  $\mathcal{G}$  is a coherent  $\mathcal{O}_Y$ -module. Prove that  $f^*(\mathcal{G})$  is a coherent  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module.

c) Assume that  $X$  is noetherian and let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a quasi-coherent  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module. Show that  $f_*(\mathcal{F})$  is a quasi-coherent  $\mathcal{O}_Y$ -module.<sup>1</sup>

Exercise 3 (Separated schemes; 6+6+6 points).

Let  $(f, f^\#): (X, \mathcal{O}_X) \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{O}_Y)$  be a morphism of schemes. According to Exercise 1 on Problem Sheet 5, there is a unique morphism  $\Delta_f: X \rightarrow X \times_Y X$ , called *diagonal*, such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 X & & & & \\
 \downarrow \text{id}_X & \searrow \exists! \Delta_f & & \xrightarrow{\text{id}_X} & X \\
 & & X \times_Y X & \xrightarrow{\pi_2} & X \\
 & & \downarrow \pi_1 & & \downarrow f \\
 & & X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y
 \end{array}$$

commutes. The morphism  $(f, f^\#)$  is *separated*, if  $\Delta_f$  is a closed immersion. A scheme  $(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$  is *separated*, if its canonical morphism to  $\text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z})$  is separated. According to Hartshorne, Chapter II, Corollary 4.3, this is equivalent to the fact that the image of the diagonal is closed.<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>At the end of last lecture, there was an imprecision in the final argument. It has already been rectified on the slides.

<sup>2</sup>Since the topology on the product  $X \times X := X \times_{\text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z})} X$  is not the product topology and this is, in general, not even the set theoretic product, this is not the classical Hausdorff axiom of topology.

- a) Give an example of a non-separated morphism.
- b) Let  $(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$  be a separated scheme. Prove that the intersection of two affine open subschemes is again an affine open subscheme. Show by means of an example that this is not necessarily true on a non-separated scheme.
- c) Let  $(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ ,  $(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y)$  be schemes and  $(f, f^\#), (g, g^\#): (X, \mathcal{O}_X) \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{O}_Y)$  morphisms. Assume that  $X$  is reduced and that  $Y$  is separated. Prove that the two morphisms are equal if and only if they agree on a dense open subset of  $X$ . Find examples to show that this is not true, if  $X$  is non-reduced or  $Y$  is non-separated.