Green Electricity in the Nordic Countries

Atle Midttun Presentation at the Berlin Realise conference 2-3 November 2006

Outline

- 1. The Nordic green el contribution to Europe
- 2. Development of the Nordic support regimes for green electricity 90s and early 2000s
- 3. Green el related policy issues with Nordic stakeholders
- 4. Reflection on policy tools in an innovation perspective

The Nordic green el contribution to Europe I







The Nordic green el contribution to Europe II



Source: IEA

The Danish regime: 1990s and 2000s

1990s

- Efficient use of feed in model (bewteen DKK 0.30 and 0.60 kWh)
- Investment support schemes 15-40% of costs (techn dependent)
- Purchase obligation on local utilities
- 1999: attempt to introduce certificate model (withdrawn)

2000s

- Regular energy markets + CO2 quotas
- 0.10 DKK/kWh flat rate support
- Grid investments
- Exemption from energy levies
- R&D funds (30mill DKK pr year)
- Ad hoc auction: investment in 2 offshore windmill parks

Kullkraft har blitt dyr pga kvotepriser på CO2





Norwegian regime: 1990s and 2000s

1990s

- Investment support
 - maximum 25% of total costs
- Production support
 - ex: wind producers got equal to 50% of el-tax (NOK 0.05)
- Tax incentives
 - Wind power exempted from 7% investm. fee

2000s

- Creation of ENOVA 2001
 - Shall contribute to energy efficiency and renewable energy policy
- Planned ascension to Swedish cert system (2003-2005)
- Adoption of supplementary feed in system 2006:
 - Small hydro: 4Øre / 0.48 Eurocent kWh
 - Wind: 8 øre / 0.96 Eurocent kWh
 - Bioel & immature techn: 10 øre / 1.2 Eurocent 7 kWh

Swedish regime: 1990s and 2000s

1990s

- Investment support
 - wind power up to 15%
 - biofules up to 25%
- Tax incentives
 - Energy tax exemption on renewables, paid via an environmental bonus 0.162 SEK in 2000
 - Reduced grid fee 0.09 SEK kWh

2000s

- Since may 2003 el certificate trading system
- Quota obligation of 17% by 2010 until 2030
- Price 150-200 SEK MWh

Prices for El certs in Sweden





Finnish regime: 1990s and 2000s

1990s

- Investment support
 - % support depends on the innovativeness of the technology: runs up to 40% generally 20-35%
- Electricity tax exemption
 - Under 1 Eurocent

2000

- Investment support
 - % support depends on the innovativeness of the technology: runs up to 40% generally 20-35%
- Tax incentives
 - 99 Action plan for renewable el sources: consumer tax refunded as subsidy to producer
 - Wind: 0.69 ct/kWh
 - Biomass: 0.43 ct/kWh
 - Small hydro: 0.42 ct/kWh
 - 2003 update: focus on bioenergy

Accumulated volume in voluntary markets (2002 and projections for 2010



Energy focus of public debate in Nordic countries



13

Green el related policy issues in DK

- Fairly broad consensus on liberalist reorientation from industry and energy industry
- Some fatigue with playing the pioneering role for green el in EU
- More scepticism to liberalist policy from wind energy actors and to some extent the ecological movement

- Joint concern about the late integration of EU CO2 policy
- Concern with lacking integration into German market
- Concern with investment uncertainty and capacity limitation in Danish el supply
- Concern with price effects of underinvestment

Green el related policy issues in S

- Mixed opinions about the certificate system, but acceptance that the system was there to stay
 - Concerns with the functions of the cert syst
 - Concerns with possible expansion to Norway (symmetry issues)

- Focus on the need for complementary support systems to elcert
- Concern with energy efficiency
- Concerns with power costs for heavy industry

Green el related policy issues in N

- Orientation towards elcert market with Sweden
- Disappointment with failure to reach commom agreement N+S
- Reorientation towards feed in
- Wide acceptance that high el and CO2 prices are not sufficient

- Small hydro may however partly be profitable with present prices
- Gas power continues to be debated: current policy on CO2 sequestration
- Concern with high electricity prices from el-consuming industry

Green el related policy issues in FI

- Basic acceptance of present support system admin.
- Concern with possible competition for biomass between paper and pulp industry and ren. En ind.
- Concern with international pressure against Finnish peat
- Acceptance for both nuclear and renewables to solve supply deficit and fulfill Kyoto

- Nuclear issue was much debated, but is now decided on
- Green electricity pushed politically, as houshold consumers show moderate interest
- Dominant renewable is black liquor from paper and pulp
- Fortum stands alone in support for green certificates









Support systems and Learning Curves



Why competitive market orientation and why Europeanisation





All rights reserved - @ 2006 Markedskraft

